arXiv:1511.07029v1 [cond-mat.other] 22 Nov 2015

Vortex bundle collapse and Kolmogorov spectrum. Talk given at the Low Temperature Conference, Kazan, 2015

Sergey K. Nemirovskii
Institute of Thermophysics, Lavrentyev ave, 1, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk
(Dated: November 24, 2015)

The statement of problem is motivated by the idea of modeling the classical turbulence with a set of chaotic quantized vortex filaments in superfluids. Among various arguments supporting the idea of quasi-classic behavior of quantum turbulence, the strongest, probably, is the k dependence of the spectra of energy, $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$ obtained in numerical simulations and experiments. At the same time the mechanism of classical vs quantum turbulence (QT) is not clarified and the source of the $k^{-5/3}$ dependence is unclear. In this work we concentrated on the nonuniform vortex vortex bundles. This choice is related to actively discussed question concerning a role of collapses in the vortex dynamics in formation of turbulent spectra. We demonstrate that the nonuniform vortex vortex bundles, which appear in result of nonlinear vortex dynamics generates the energy spectrum, which close to the Kolmogorov dependence $\propto k^{-5/3}$.

I. INTRODUCTION AND SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND

The problem of modeling classical turbulence with a set of chaotic quantized vortices is undoubtedly in the mainstream of modern studies of vortex states in quantum fluids (see, e.g., [1],[2],[3]).
One of the evidences of the quasi-classical behavior of QT is the k-dependence of the spectra of energy$E(k)$, obtained in numerical simulations and experiments, and their comparison with the Kolmogorov law $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$. The experimental observation of the Kolmogorov law is only obtained in presence of the normal component–see [4]. There is as yet no direct experimental evidence relating to the spectrum of the turbulent energy at very low temperatures (see [5]). Measuring the fluctuation of the VLD (see, [6], [7]) gave results, which are, probably, inconsistent with the quasi-classical behavior of QT. As for numerical results, there are several works, which demonstrate the dependence $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$. There are both the works, based on the vortex filament methods (VFM) [8–10] and works using GPE [11, 12], [13],[14].

The most common view of quasi-classical turbulence is the model of vortex bundles. The point is that the quantized vortices have a fixed core radius, so they don't possess the very important property of classical turbulence – stretching vortex tubes with a decrease in the core size. The latter is responsible for the turbulence energy cascade from large scales to the small scales. Collections of near-parallel quantized vortices (vortex bundles) do possess this property, so the idea that the quasi-classical turbulence in quantum fluids is realized via vortex bundles of different sizes and intensities (number of threads) seems quite natural. However the concept of the bundle structure does not explain appearance of Kolmogorov type spectrum $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$, since the usual uniform vortex array just generates the coarse grained solid body rotation.

In the work we study nonuniform vortex arrays, whose structure is determined by the collapsing vortex dynamics.

II. UNIFORM VORTEX ARRAY

The energy of the vortex tangle, expressed via vortex filaments elements $\mathbf{s}(\xi_j)$ (ξ_j is the label variable of j- loop) is defined as (see [15],[16],[17])

$$E(k) = \frac{\rho_s \kappa^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{i,j} \int_0^{L_i} \int_0^{L_j} \mathbf{s}'_j(\xi_i) \cdot \mathbf{s}'_j(\xi_j) d\xi_i d\xi_j \frac{\sin(k\,|\mathbf{s}(\xi_j) - \mathbf{s}(\xi_j)|)}{k\,|\mathbf{s}(\xi_i) - \mathbf{s}(\xi_j)|} \tag{1}$$

For anisotropic situations, formula (1) is understood as an angular average, but one has to treat this formula with precaution (see [18]). Thus, for calculation of the energy spectrum $E(k)$ of the 3D velocity field, induced by the vortex filament we need to know the exact configuration $\{s(\xi)\}$ of vortex lines.

Let's study the question, what is the energy spectrum of 3D flow induced by the array of vortex filaments, imitating the bundle. First we consider a set of straight vortex filaments forming the square lattice $\bigcup s_i(\xi) = \bigcup(x_i, y_j, z)$. Points x_i, y_j are coordinates for vortices on the xy-plane, indices i, j runs from 1 to N . The neighboring lines

are separated by distance b, i.e., $x_{i+1} - x_i = b$. In case of different straight lines we have to perform integration between different lines and $|\mathbf{s}_1(\xi_1) - \mathbf{s}_2(\xi_2)| = \sqrt{(x_{1i} - x_{2i})^2 + (y_{1j} - y_{2j})^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2} = \sqrt{d_{ij}^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}$ where $d_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_{1i} - x_{2i})^2 + (y_{1j} - y_{2j})^2}$ distances between vortices on the xy -plane. Then equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{E(k)}{\rho_s \kappa^2 L} = \frac{1}{4\pi k} \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{N} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{N} \int_0^L \int_0^L \frac{\sin(k\sqrt{d_{ij}^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2})}{(\sqrt{d_{ij}^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2})} \, d(z_1 - z_2) \tag{2}$$

Integral over z is in the table by Ryzhik & Gradshtein (3.876) (see [19])

$$\frac{E(k)}{\rho_s \kappa^2 L} = \frac{1}{4\pi k} \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{N} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{N} J_0(k d_{ij}). \tag{3}$$

Thus, determination of the spectrum on the basis (3) should be done with the use of the quadruple summation (over (x_i, x_j, y_i, y_j)), which requires large computing resources. Clear, however, that for very small k, which corresponds to very large distance, the whole array can be considered as large single vortex with the circulation $N^2\kappa$. Accordingly, the spectrum (per unit height) should be $(\rho_s N^4 \kappa^2/4\pi)k^{-1}$. For large k, which corresponds to very small distance from each line, the spectrum (per unit height) should be $(\rho_s \kappa^2/4\pi)k^{-1}$ as for the single straight vortex filament. In the intermediate region $kb << 1$, and $Nkb >> 1$ (this condition implies that inverse wave number k^{-1} is larger than the intervortex space between neighboring lines, but smaller then the size of the whole array Nb), we can replace the quadruple summation by the quadruple integration with infinite limits. This procedure corresponds that we exclude the fine-scale motion near each of vortex, and are interested in the only large-scale, coarse-grained motion. After obvious change of variables $x_i \to kx_i$, $y_i \to ky_i$ etc. we get that the whole integral should scale as $1/k^4$, and accordingly $E(k) \propto 1/k^5$ (compare with [20]). As it is shown in [17], the velocity $v(\mathbf{r})$ scales as $\mathbf{r}^1$. Thus, the uniform vortex array creates the course-grained motion, which is rotation (velocity is proportional to the distance from center), as it should be. Moreover, the coefficient is proportional to $\kappa/2b^2$, which corresponds to the Feynman rule. Concluding this subsection we state that the uniform vortex bundles do not generate the Kolmogorov spectra.

III. VORTEX LINES BREAKING

Currently, in classic hydrodynamics, the highly important topic - the role of hydrodynamic collapses in the formation of turbulent spectra - is being intensively discussed (See e.g., [21], [22], [23]). Briefly, this phenomenon can be described as spontaneous infinite growth of the vorticity field $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$ with formation of singularity in $\omega(r)$. In particular, in the continuously distributed vortex field the vortex lines (not quantized vortex filaments, just hydrodynamic vortex lines!) start to accumulate at some points a_0 forming singular distribution $\omega(r) \sim r^{-2/3}$ as it is illustrated in Fig. 1. The latter results in the increment for velocity field $\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r} + \delta\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim r^{1//3}$, which, in turn results it to the Kolmogorov spectrum $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$. In classical hydrodynamics this scenario is known as the vortex breaking. This phenomenon was analyzed in series of papers by Kuznetsov with coauthors (see/ e.g. [21],[24] and references therein)in the framework of the integrable incompressible hydrodynamic model with the Hamiltonian $\int |\omega| d\mathbf{r}$. Studying Euler equations in terms of vorticity (also known as Helmholtz's vorticity equations)

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \omega), \tag{4}$$

Kuznetsov concluded that in the vicinity of the touching point $\mathbf{a}_0$ the maximum value of vorticity $\omega_{\max}$ develops in the blow-up manner

$$\omega_{\max} \propto \frac{1}{t^* - t}, \tag{5}$$

with the approaching the infinity at some time t^*. The domain of vorticity is not isotropic, it has a pancake structure. The main dependence of vorticity field is connected with the transverse to the bundle direction $\rho_\perp$, and $\omega(\rho_\perp)$ scales as

$$\omega \propto \frac{1}{\rho_\perp^{2/3}}. \tag{6}$$



FIG. 1: (Color online)Schematic picture illustrating the vortex bundle collapse [25]. The regular initially distribution of vorticity spontaneously concentrates, collapsing in some point $\mathbf{a}_0$ and forming the singular structure.

The similar consideration can be applied for quantum vortices. It, however, can be done only in particular case of vortex bundles, when the quantum vortex filaments form a near-parallel structure. In this case The coarse-grained hydrodynamic equations for the superfluid vorticity are obtained from the Euler equation for the superfluid velocity $\mathbf{v}_s = \langle \mathbf{v}_s \rangle$ after averaging over the vortex lines . The coarse-grained hydrodynamic of the vortex bundles is studied by many authors (see e.g., [26],[27],[28],[29]), but the basis of these studies was the hydrodynamics of rotating superfluids, or the Hall-Vinen-Bekarevich-Khalatnikov (HVBK) model (see e.g., book [30]). In the vortex bundles, the coarse-grained vorticity field of ω_s, and the 2D vortex line density $\mathcal{L}$ (which coincides with the areal density in plane perpendicular to the bundle) are related to each other by means of the Feynman's rule, $\omega_s = \kappa\mathcal{L}$.. In terms HVBK the dynamics of this vorticity obeys the following equation (see [30])

$$\frac{\partial \omega_s}{\partial t} = \nabla \times [\mathbf{v}_L \times \omega_s], \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_L$ is the velocity of lines,

$$\mathbf{v}_L = \mathbf{v}_s + \alpha \left[\hat{\omega}_s \times (\mathbf{v}_n - \mathbf{v}_s)\right]. \tag{8}$$

It is easy to see that in case of zero temperature, when mutual friction vanishes $\alpha = 0$, and taking into account that vortex lines move with the averaged velocity $\mathbf{v}_s = \langle \mathbf{v}_s \rangle$, the dynamics of macroscopic (or the coarse-grained) vorticity is identical to the dynamics of classical field, therefore all, stated above conclusions concerning the collapse of vorticity are valid for quantum fluids.

IV. NONINFORM LATTICE

Let's now consider the nonuniform vortex bundle. To model this situation we just can choose that the distance b between lattice points (see Sec. 2) is not constant, but depends on the numbers i, j of the cell nodes. We have to realize that the problem of the spontaneous formation of vortex bundles is only on the stage of discussion so far, and there is no ideas concerning an exact arrangement of these bundles. We will choose the power law dependence for the distance between the lattice points.

$$x_{i+1} - x_i = b_0 i^\lambda, \;\; y_{j+1} - y_j = b_0 j^\lambda. \tag{9}$$

We do not ascertain the quantity λ, it is free parameter of our approach. Under condition (9) the expression (3) turns into

$$\frac{E(k)}{\rho_s \kappa^2 L} = \frac{1}{4\pi k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} J_0(k b_0 i^\lambda) \tag{10}$$

That means that while changing the summation by integration we have to put $x_i \to k^{1/\lambda} x_i, \;\; y_i \to k^{1/\lambda} y_i$ (instead of the change of variables $x_i \to k x_i, \;\; y_i \to k y_i$ made in Sec. 2). As a result we get, that the whole integral should be scaled as $1/k^{1+4/\lambda}$. It is easy to see that when $\lambda = 6$, the spectrum $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$.

Let's find the $2D$ density of vortices on the xy plane under condition (9), or, according to the Feynman rule, the distribution of vorticity $\omega(r)$. In the "space" of indices $\{i,j\}$ vortices are distributed uniformly (one vortex per lattice site $\{i,j\}$), but since the distances between the sites vary, the distribution of vortices in the real xy space is nonuniform. Let us consider "the ring" of radius from I to $I+\Delta I$ in $\{i,j\}$. Then, the number of points ΔN in ring is just $2\pi I\Delta I$, the radius of ring in real xy space is $r=b_0 I^\lambda$, and the thickness of ring is $\Delta r = b_0\lambda I^{\lambda-1}\Delta I$. From these relations it follows that the real $n(r)$ scales with r as

$$n(r)=\frac{\Delta N}{\Delta r}\propto\frac{1}{r^{1-2/\lambda}}. \tag{11}$$

If $\lambda=6$, then $\kappa n(r)=\omega(r)\propto r^{-2/3}$, as it should be for the classical turbulence [21],[24].

V. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing, it can be concluded that the 3D energy spectrum $E(k)$ close to the Kolmogorov dependence $\propto k^{-5/3}$ which was observed in many numerical simulations on the superfluid turbulence [8]-[14], can appear from the collapsing vortex bundle.

The work was supported by grant 15-02-05366 from RFBR (Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research)

[1] W.F. Vinen, Phys. Rev. B **61**(2), 1410 (2000)
[2] L. Skrbek, K.R. Sreenivasan, Physics of Fluids **24**(1), 011301 (2012)
[3] S.K. Nemirovskii, Physics Reports **524**(3), 85 (2013)
[4] J.. Maurer, P. Tabeling, Europhysics Letters **43**, 29 (1998)
[5] W. Vinen, J. Niemela, J. Low Temp. Phys. **128**, 167 (2002)
[6] P.E. Roche, P. Diribarne, T. Didelot, O. Francais, L. Rousseau, H. Willaime, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **77**(6), 66002 (2007)
[7] D.I. Bradley, S.N. Fisher, A.M. Guénault, R.P. Haley, S. O'Sullivan, G.R. Pickett, V. Tsepelin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 065302 (2008)
[8] T. Araki, M. Tsubota, S.K. Nemirovskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**(14), 145301 (2002)
[9] D. Kivotides, C.J. Vassilicos, D.C. Samuels, C.F. Barenghi, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **57**(6), 845 (2002)
[10] D. Kivotides, C.F. Barenghi, D.C. Samuels, Europhys. Lett. **54**, 771 (2001)
[11] C. Nore, M. Abid, M.E. Brachet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**(20), 3896 (1997)
[12] C. Nore, M. Abid, M.E. Brachet, Physics of Fluids **9**, 2644 (1997)
[13] M. Kobayashi, M. Tsubota, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**(6), 065302 (2005)
[14] N. Sasa, T. Kano, M. Machida, V.S. L'vov, O. Rudenko, M. Tsubota, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 054525 (2011)
[15] S.K. Nemirovskii, Phys. Rev. B **57**(10), 5972 (1998)
[16] S.K. Nemirovskii, M. Tsubota, T. Araki, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **126**, 1535 (2002)
[17] S. Nemirovskii, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **171**(5-6), 504 (2013)
[18] S.K. Nemirovskii, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 106502 (2015)
[19] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, vol. Fourth (Academic Press, 1980)
[20] B. Nowak, J. Schole, D. Sexty, T. Gasenzer, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 043627 (2012)
[21] E. Kuznetsov, V. Ruban, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **91**(4), 775 (2000)
[22] R.M. Kerr, Physics of Fluids **25**(6), 065101 (2013).
[23] S. K.Nemirovskii, A. N. Tsoi, Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters, **35**, 286 (1982).
[24] D. Agafontsev, E. Kuznetsov, A. Mailybaev, arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.01562 (2015)
[25] E. Kuznetsov, Proceedings of scientific school" Nonlinear waves-2012", Eds. AG Litvak and VI Nekorkin, Institute for Applied Physics, Nizhnii Novgorod **26** (2013)
[26] E.B. Sonin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**(1), 87 (1987)
[27] K.L. Henderson, C.F. Barenghi, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **406**, 199 (2000).
[28] D.D. Holm, in *Quantized Vortex Dynamics* (Springer, 2001)
[29] D. Jou, M. Mongiovi, M. Sciacca, Physica D **240**, 249 (2011)
[30] I.M. Khalatnikov, *An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity* (Benjamin, New York/Amsterdam, 1965)